MAR-08-2005 00:10 FROM:JASON Z LIN

4088677437

TO: USPTO

P.005/006

Serial Nr.: 10/672,352

Art Unit: 2838

03207-URS

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$102(b) as being

anticipated by Lee, and claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being

unpatentable over Lee.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-3 on the ground that Lee (figure 2) discloses a

voltage converting unit (100), a power-controlling unit (170), a USB interface circuit

(190) and a switch (110). Applicant respectfully contends that the rejection is

unwarranted after careful comparison of the instant invention with the cited prior art

because the physical elements of the instant invention and the interconnection among the

elements differ from Lee's art. In response, claim 1 is amended to more specifically recite

how each element is connected to another so as to distinguish from the art of Lee and to

clearly define the subject matter of this invention.

. More specifically, the amended claim 1 defines the limitation that the power

supply device has a switch connecting to the power controlling unit for providing the

control command to the power controlling unit. In Lee's art, however, the DPMS

power switch (110) receives a control signal from the power control unit (the micro

controller 170) instead of providing a control command to the power controlling unit as

in the instant invention.

Furthermore, in the present invention, the power supply device has a USB

interface unit receiving an output from the power controlling unit. In Lee's art, the

6

PAGE 5/6 * RCVD AT 3/8/2005 3:11:54 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/1 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:4088677437 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-34

MAR-08-2005 00:11 FROM: JASON Z LIN

4988577437

TO:USPTO

P.006/006

Serial Nr.: 10/672,352

Art Unit: 2838

03207-URS

USB interface (190) receives an output from the DC/DC converter (180) instead of

the power controlling unit (micro controller 170).

From the foregoing comparison, it is clear that the instant invention differs from

the cited prior arts. The two power supply devices have different elements connected in

completely different ways. The physical difference results in different effects and is not

obvious. The amended claim 1 has overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. \$102(b) and

should be patentable. Claim 3 is cancelled. By virtue of dependency, claims 2, and 4-6

should also be patentable. The specification and claims 1, 4 and 6 have been amended to

correct a few editorial and grammatical errors, as well as informalities pointed out by the

examiner. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are in full condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable

reconsideration of the application is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

ason\Z. Lin

gent for Applicant

Reg. No. 37,492

(408) 867-9757